

ELI Special Project Rubric

Notes: A minimum score of 14 out of 18 must be achieved to be eligible for a grant. Any project below a 14 or any category receiving a score of zero will need to be strongly justified in order to be considered.

	2	1	0	Score
Project Description	Description is clearly written, concise, and easy to understand.	Description is adequate but lacks clarity in some areas; may not concisely explain project activities.	Description is unclear, overly verbose, or filled with jargon.	
Adequacy & Feasibility of Design	Processes and procedures are well-defined, manageable, appropriate, and comprehensive.	Processes and procedures appear manageable but has some uncertainties.	Processes and procedures are unclear, do not align with objectives, or lack a defined timeline.	
Prior Funding Through ELI	Project has never received ELI funding.	Project has received ELI funding once.	Project has received ELI funding two or more times.	
Student Involvement	10+ students are exposed to new concepts	1-9 students are being exposed to new concepts and ideas	Students will not be involved in this project.	
Expose	Participants are exposed to new concepts and ideas that challenge their perspectives through participation in a project that is associated with an academic course or program SLO.	The project may or may not be associated with an academic course or program SLO. Or concepts may or may not be considered "new" and may or may not challenge their existing perspectives.	The concepts and ideas that the participants will be exposed to, including their expected impacts, are not clearly defined or limited in scope.	
Engage	Participants will engage in new and enriched experiences that enable them to formulate new perspectives.	Participants will engage in new experiences; however, their engagement is not significant and/or participation is marginal.	No student involvement, or the impact and scope of concepts to participants are unclear.	
Evaluate	Participants will be required to provide a written or video reflection in which they reflect on the impact of their experiences and understanding of concepts and ideas in a meaningful way.	Participants are provided opportunities to reflect on their experiences or reflection activity lacks depths or significance.	Participants are provided limited or no opportunity for reflection.	
Budget (Appropriateness & Justification)	Budget is comprehensive, clearly explained, and appropriate for proposed activities. All costs are justified, relevant, and essential. Includes shared cost between participants and the university.	The budget is reasonable and fully justified but lacks cost-sharing.	Budget is unclear, inappropriate, or lacks justification for some costs.	
Dissemination of Evidence	Project will be posted on Collaboratory, presented at University Scholar's Day, and at least one external venue.	Project will be posted on Collaboratory and a presented at University Scholar's Day.	Not evident how the project will be shared.	
Comments:				Total Score: ____ / 18

